Synthesis and Evaluation of Chromogenic and Fluorogenic Analogs of Glycerol for Enzyme Assays

by Eva Maria González-García, Johann Grognux, Denis Wahler, and Jean-Louis Reymond*

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Bern, Freiestrasse 3, CH-3012 Bern $(fax: +41316318057; e-mail:jean-louis.reymond@ioc.unibe.ch)$

The branched glycerol analogs 1 and 2 were prepared. Mono-ester derivatives of these triols undergo a chromogenic or fluorogenic reaction in the presence of NaIO₄. In contrast, both the diesters and the triols are themselves not chromogenic or fluorogenic. Diester derivatives of these triols can be used as probes for lipases. The tris-phosphate derivative of 1 is a fluorogenic substrate for various phosphatases.

Introduction. – Enzyme assays are indispensable tools in enzymology, where they are used to identify enzymes and to evaluate their purity and activity [1]. When an enzyme is discovered, one important question is always the identity of its natural substrate, which is presumably also its best substrate in terms of kinetics behavior. In the context of enzyme assays, the related problem is to find the optimal assay method for any given enzyme, in particular the most-sensitive method. Simple assays giving readily recordable spectroscopic signals are preferred in the context of high-throughput screening for new enzymes by biodiversity mining or directed evolution. Herein, we report the synthesis and evaluation of the branched triols 1 and 2 as building blocks for enzyme substrates. Triols 1 and 2 are close analogs of glycerol, and their ester derivatives can be used to prepare chromogenic and fluorogenic analogs of glycerides, the natural substrates of lipases. The synthesis of these triols and their derivatives and their applications in enzyme assays are presented.

Results and Discussion. – We recently reported a general assay for hydrolytic enzymes based on substrates such as 3, which release a 1,2-diol such as 4 upon reaction with the enzymes (*Scheme 1*) [2]. The 1,2-diol product then reacts with $NaIO₄$ to generate a labile carbonyl product, e.g., 5, which subsequently undergoes a β elimination to give a fluorescent or colored phenol, e.g., umbelliferone 6, under catalysis by bovine serum albumin (BSA) [3]. The indirect release strategy for the colored/fluorescent products makes these precursors particularly resistant to nonspecific hydrolysis. This property can be ascribed to the aliphatic nature of the alcohol leaving group in the enzyme-labile functional group, and provides a key advantage over

the highly labile esters of 4-nitrophenol or umbelliferone $(= 7$ -hydroxy-2H-1benzopyran-2-one), which tend to hydrolyze spontaneously. Although these substrates showed satisfactory reactivity with lipases and esterases, we were intrigued by the possibility to improve their specific reactivities by designing a structure that would be a close analog of glycerol, which is the core element of the natural triglycerides. Triols 1 and 2, which contain glycerol as part of their branched structures, were, therefore, investigated as building blocks for specific lipase substrates.

 $Coum = 2-Oxo-2H-1-benzopyran-7-yl$

Retrosynthetic analysis suggested to prepare triols 1 and 2 in a divergent synthesis by alkylation of the phenols with the versatile precursor 7 (Scheme 2). The branched Cframework in 7 would itself be assembled by addition of a carbon nucleophile to the $C=O$ group of the protected dihydroxyacetone building block $\boldsymbol{8}$ to form the tertiary alcohol. This strategy offered a significant simplification over a previously described preparation of intermediate 7 starting with the alkylation of malonate [4].

Scheme 2. Retrosynthetic Analysis for the Synthesis of Glycerol Analogs

Protected dihydroxyacetone 8 was obtained from 2-amino-2-(hydroxymethyl)propane-1,3-diol (9) by acetonide formation to 10 and oxidation with $NaIO₄$ (Scheme 3) [5]. Reaction with allylmagnesium bromide gave the tertiary alcohol 11 in 50% yield. The yield could not be improved despite many modifications of the procedure,

probably due to a competing deprotonation of the ketone by the Grignard reagent. While reductive ozonolysis of 11 (O_3 , then; NaBH₄, or Me₂S and NaBH₄, or (EtO)₃P and NaBH₄) did not give isolable products, a three-step sequence involving $OsO₄$ catalyzed dihydroxylation to form diol 12 , oxidative cleavage by NaIO_4 , and reduction of the resulting aldehyde with $NaBH₄$, gave the desired diol 13. Selective tosylation of the primary alcohol was achieved with TsCl in pyridine to give the key intermediate 7. Careful control of the reaction was necessary, as small amounts of the corresponding bis[toluene-4-sulfonate] were, quite surprisingly, also formed. The toluene-4-sulfonate was then substituted with either 4-nitrophenol or umbelliferone to give 1 and 2, respectively.

NMO = 4-Methylmorpholine 4-oxide, PNP = 4-nitrophenyl, Coum = 2-oxo-2H-benzopyran-7-yl

These triols were then converted to potential probes for enzyme activities (Scheme 4). Acetylation gave the diacetates 16 and 17 quantitatively. Reaction with decanoyl chloride or dodecanoyl chloride gave the corresponding diesters $18 - 21$, which might serve as chromogenic or fluorogenic analogs of glycerides. The monododecanoyl esters 22 and 23 were also prepared. Finally, the tris-phosphate derivative 24 was obtained by reaction with dibenzyl N , N -diisopropyl phosphoramidite in the presence of 1H-tetrazole, followed by oxidation with m-chloroperbenzoic acid (m-CPBA). Hydrogenolysis effected clean and quantitative removal of the Bn protecting groups to give tris-phosphate 25 as a substrate for phosphatases. It should be noted that the functionalization of the sparingly soluble triols 1 and 2 gave generally low yields despite careful control of the reaction conditions, in particular, drying of the starting triols. Similarly, no products were formed in a series of attempts at monophosphorylation of triols 1 or 2 , or monoesters 22 and 23 according to the published phosphorylation protocols [6] to produce monophosphate or phosphocholine ester derivatives (for the assembly of a phospholipase substrates).

 $Coum = 2-Oxo-2H-1-benzopyran-7-yl, PNP = 4-nitrophenyl$

First, we investigated the reactivity of the triols in aqueous buffer in the presence of $NaIO₄$ and BSA. There was only a very small release of fluorescence or color upon treatment of 0.1 mm aqueous solutions of the triols under our standard conditions $(1 \text{ mm } \text{NaIO}_4, 2 \text{ mg/ml } \text{BSA}, 20 \text{ mm }$ aq. borate pH 8.8 or phosphate pH 7.0). The absence of a fluorogenic/chromogenic reaction with excess $NaIO₄$ can be interpreted in terms of an overoxidation of the intermediate hydroxy ketone 27 by a second C,C-bond cleavage to form acid 28 and a second equiv. of formaldehyde, this overoxidation taking place faster than the β -elimination induced by BSA (*Scheme 5*). The formation of acid 28 *via* an unstable intermediate was confirmed by analyzing the reaction of triol 1 by HPLC (Table 1) and ¹H-NMR (see *Exper. Part*). Intrigued by this observation, we also investigated the reactivity of diesters $16 - 21$, mono-esters 22 and 23, and tris-phosphate 25 in the presence of NaIO₄ and BSA. There was no reaction with diesters or triphosphate as expected from the fact that these substrates do not have any unprotected 1,2-diol functionalities. Mono-esters 22 and 23, which do have the critical 1,2-diol functional groups, reacted with $NaIO₄$ and BSA to give the colored/fluorescent products 4-nitrophenol or umbelliferone. The oxidation- β -elimination sequence proceeded with a half-life of $t_{1/2} = 15$ min, which is comparable to that of simple diols such as 4.

	$t_{\rm R}$ 4.8 min $(1+8)$	t_{R} 7.8 min (27)	t_{R} 12.5 min (28)	
$A, t=2$ min	63	37	0	
$A, t=1$ h	49	47	4	
$A, t = 5$ h	90			
$A, t = 24 h$	96	θ	4	
$B, t=2$ min	34	66	$_{0}$	
$B, t=1$ h	30	43	27	
$B, t = 6$ h	25	17	58	
<i>B</i> , $t = 24$ h	14	4	82	

Table 1. Reaction of Triol 1 with NaIO₄ Analyzed by $HPLC^a$)

^a) The peak area observed are given in % for the reaction of 100 μ m triol 1 in aq. 20 mm borate pH 8.8, 2 mg · ml⁻¹ BSA, 25°, and A: 100 μ M NaIO₄, B: 1 mM NaIO₄. Isocratic elution at 1.5 ml·min⁻¹ of 88% H₂O, 12% MeCN, 0.1% CF₃COOH; detection by UV at 325 nm; analytical column: Chromolit Performance RP-18e $(Merck)$, 100×4.6 mm $(1.02129.0001$, Charge No. OB 14306)

We next investigated the mono- and diester derivatives for use as lipase probes. Due to the overoxidation chemistry observed with the triols, one could expect that a chromogenic or fluorescent signal would be obtained only for lipases converting selectively one of the diesters $16 - 21$ to a mono-ester. Formation of the mono-ester would be immediately followed by oxidation by $NaIO₄$ to give an acyloxy ketone of type 26 as for the reaction of the mono-esters with NaIO₄. At that stage, the β elimination leading to the spectroscopic signal would take place only when the BSAcatalyzed reaction was faster than a possible further hydrolysis of 26 by the lipase, which would lead to hydroxy ketone 27 and, ultimately, to acid 28. This reactivity pattern was indeed observed. Three commercial lipases, Rhizopus arrizus lipase (RAL), Pseudomonas fluorescens lipase (PFL), and Pseudomonas species type B lipoprotein lipase (PSBL), were chosen as test enzymes ($Fig.$). The reactions at the lower concentration of enzyme gave generally stronger signals, with the bis-decanoates 18 and 19 giving the best ratios of catalytic rate over background (V_{rel} , in parentheses in Table 2). This can be interpreted in terms of the chemistry discussed above, with the enzyme reacting rapidly with the diester substrates, and much more slowly with the acyloxy-ketone intermediate 26, such that the BSA-catalyzed β -elimination could take place. Excess enzyme induces hydrolysis of 26, which prevents the formation of umbelliferone or 4-nitrophenol by β -elimination. Any reaction that does not give a

significant spectroscopic signal might, therefore, signal either lack of reactivity of the lipase, or very high reactivity leading to the rapid formation of 28.

The nonchromogenic and nonfluorogenic oxidation of triols 1 and 2, respectively, with $NaIO₄$ offered, in principle, the opportunity to assay esterification reactions of these substrates according to an endpoint oxidation protocol. The triols would be subjected to an esterification reaction, and the formation of a mono-esterified product would be revealed after some time by oxidation with $NaIO₄$. Various attempts to subject these triols sequentially to a lipase/esterase-catalyzed esterification with vinyl acetate in aqueous or organic phase, followed by reaction with periodate and BSA to reveal the formation of a mono-esterification product, gave no results.

We next investigated the use of tris-phosphate 25 as a fluorogenic probe for phosphatases. This substrate seemed promising as a possible chemoselective probe for phytases against alkaline phosphatase, and as a tool to facilitate the discovery of novel phytases by biodiversity mining. Phytases hydrolyze phytate, which is hexaphosphorylated inositol, and are used to enhance the nutritional value of animal feed formulations

Figure. Fluorogenic reaction of umbelliferyl ethers 22 (mC10) and 18 (diC10) with Rhizopus arrizus lipase (Fluka No. 62305) at 100 μ g · ml^{-1} (100), 10 μ g · ml^{-1} (10), or no enzyme (noE). Conditions: 100 μ m substrate in aq. 20 mm borate buffer pH 8.8, 2 mg · ml⁻¹ BSA, 1 mm NaIO₄, 30°. 0.1-ml Assays were carried out in roundbottom polypropylene 96 well-plates (Costar) and recorded with a Cytofluor II Fluorescence Plate Reader (*Perseptive Biosystems*; filters $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 360 \pm 20$, $\lambda_{\text{em}} = 460 \pm 20 \text{ nm}$).

Table 2. Initial Rates of Formation of Umbelliferone or 4-Nitrophenol from Lipase Substrates a)

No.	X	Ester	No Enz.	RAL ^b 100	RAL ^b 10	PFL^c 100	PFL ^c 10	$PSBLd$) 100	$PSBLd$) 10
16	Coum	$di-C_2$	0.38	0.33	0.47	0.53	0.55	5.3(13)	1.5(3)
17	PNP	$di-C2$	1.3	1.0	1.1	1.4	1.3	19(14)	5.3(3)
18	Coum	$di-C_{10}$	0.09	6.0(66)	13(143)	3.2(35)	9.0(99)	0.11	0.15
19	PNP	$di-C_{10}$	0.58	5.2(8)	2.8(4)	4.7(7)	1.2	0.59	1.2
20	Coum	$di-C_{12}$	0.10	0.90(8)	2.2(21)	1.2(11)	1.3(12)	0.49(4)	0.48(4)
21	PNP	$di-C_{12}$	0.11	0.18(84)	9.3(4)	0.59(14)	1.7	0.26	0.32
22	Coum	mono- C_{10}	53	25	50	12	45	0.22	1.8
23	PNP	mono- C_{10}	-24	11	27	2.0	4.1	0.57	0.60

^a) Apparent rate of formation of umbelliferone (6) or 4-nitrophenol, in nm s^{-1} . The number in parentheses is the relative reaction rate $V_{\text{rel}} = (V_{\text{obs}}/V_{\text{no enz}}) - 1$. V_{rel} is given only when $V_{\text{rel}} > 3$, which indicates the detection limit for catalysis, and these numbers are marked in italics. Conditions: 100 μ M substrate in 20 mM aq. borate buffer pH 8.8, 30° , $2 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{ml}^{-1}$ BSA, 1 mm NaIO₄, and a lipase at the indicated concentration in μ g ml^{-1} . ^b) Rhizopus arrizus lipase (RAL), Fluka No. 62305. ^c) Pseudomonas fluorescens lipase (PFL), Fluka No. 62321. d) Pseudomonas species type B lipoprotein lipase (PSBL), Fluka No. F 62336.

by promoting the release of phosphate from phytate, which is abundant in plants. Phytase treatment makes phosphate bio-assimilable by the animal and, thus, prevents the release of the polluting phytate into soil [7]. Due to its polyanionic nature reminiscent of phytate, we expected that tris-phosphate 25 might be selectively cleaved by phytases only, and might not be recognized by alkaline phosphatase. Tris-phosphate 25 was tested with four different phosphatases at various pH values according to an endpoint protocol, leading, in certain cases, to a fluorogenic reaction $(Table 3)$. The occurrence of a fluorogenic reaction implied that two of the three phosphate groups were cleaved upon incubation with the various phosphatases to form a primary monophosphate, which then underwent the fluorogenic oxidation/ β -elimination sequence upon treatment with $NaIO₄$ and BSA during the endpoint treatment. Two of the three phytases tested showed significant reactivity with 25 at pH 2.5, under which conditions the reaction with alkaline phosphatase (CIAP) was very slow. However, alkaline phosphatase also reacted with the substrate at pH 5.5, indicating that the observed selectivity at low pH might be more related to the intrinsic pH optima of the different enzymes than to actual substrate selectivity.

Enzyme	pH 2.5	pH 4.5	pH 5.5	pH 6.5	
CIAP ^b	1.3	2.2	15.2	1.3	
<i>A.f.</i> phytase ^c)	58.0	67.0	79.5	0.6	
Novo phytase	3.6	5.4	2.7	0.7	
Natuphos phytase	26.8	8.0	4.5	0.9	

Table 3. Reaction of Triphosphate 25 with Various Phosphatases^a)

a) Amount of umbelliferone (6) formed given in percent. Conditions: 0.1 mg \cdot ml⁻¹ enzyme, 100 μ M substrate 25, 10 mm aq. citrate, acetate, or phosphate with 1 mm CaCl₂, 55°. Enzymes and substrates are incubated for 1 h at the desired pH and 55° , the pH is then adjusted to 7.2 with 0.5 M aq. dibasic phosphate. NaIO₄ (final conc. 1 mM) and BSA (final conc. $2 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{ml}^{-1}$) are added, and the reactions incubated for a further 60 min before fluorescence reading. Fluorescence was converted to umbelliferone (6) concentration with a calibration curve. ^b) CIAP: calf intestinal alkaline phosphatase. ^c) A. f. phytase: Aspergillus ficuum phytase.

The conversion of tris-phosphate 25 to monophosphate 31 probably takes place by hydrolysis of a primary phosphate group, migration of the phosphate group to the primary OH group thus liberated, and further hydrolysis of a primary phosphate group to give a mono-phosphate intermediate capable of undergoing a fluorogenic oxidation/ β -elimination sequence (*Scheme 6*). This process could not be investigated in detail due to the limited amount of trisphosphate available.

The favorable reactivity of our tris-phosphate 25 with enzymes, in particular the suitable processing of monophosphate 31 to a fluorescent product, indicated that the triols 1 and 2 might serve as useful probes for kinase reactions. The reaction with glycerol kinase, which phosphorylates glycerol to glycerol 1-phosphate with adenosine triphosphate (ATP), was investigated [8]. Unfortunately, we could not detect any sign of phosphorylation by endpoint treatment with $NaIO₄$ and BSA. The lack of reactivity of glycerol kinase with triols 1 or 2 can be explained by the fact that this enzyme is highly selective for glycerol.

Conclusions. – In summary, glycerol analogs 1 and 2 were prepared by an efficient synthesis starting with 2-amino-2-(hydroxymethyl)propane-1,3-diol (9). Bis-decanoates 18 and 19 were found to be reactive probes for lipases under dilute enzyme conditions, and the tris-phosphate 25 operates as a fluorogenic phosphatase substrate, with selectivity for phytases at low pH values.

Scheme 6. Hypothetical Mechanism for the Dephosphorylation of Triphosphate 25 Leading to the Fluorescent Umbelliferone (6) . Treatment with NaIO₄ and BSA is carried out after incubation with phosphatase enzymes.

This work was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation, the Swiss Office Fédéral de l'Education et de la Science, and Protéus SA, Nîmes, France.

Experimental Part

General. All reactions were monitored by TLC on Alugram SIL G/UV₂₅₄ silica-gel sheets (Macherey-Nagel) with detection by UV or with 0.5% phosphomolybdic acid soln. in 95% EtOH. Silica gel 60 (Macherey-Nagel 230 - 400 mesh) was used for flash chromatography (FC). M.p.: Kofler or Büchi 510 apparatus; uncorrected. IR Spectra: Perkin-Elmer Spectrum One series FTIR apparatus. ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectra: Bruker AC-300 spectrometer.

7-[3,4-Dihydroxy-3-(hydroxymethyl)butoxy]-2H-1-benzopyran-2-one (1). A soln. of compound 14 (420 mg, 1.30 mmol) in THF (8 ml) and 1 HCl (8 ml) was stirred for 1 h until TLC indicated disappearance of starting material. The mixture was extracted with AcOEt (10×200 ml), dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and the solvent was evaporated in vacuo to yield 1 (362 mg, 100%). Pale-yellow solid. M.p. 124 - 126°. TLC (AcOEt): R_f 0.67. IR (KBr): 3437s (br.), 1703s, 1622s, 1034m, 847s. ¹H-NMR (CD₃OD, 300 MHz): 7.86 (d, J = 8.6, 1 H); $7.52 (d, J = 8.6, 1 \text{ H})$; 6.95 – 6.92 (m, 2 H); 6.22 (d, $J = 9.6, 1 \text{ H}$); 4.27 (t, $J = 7.0, 2 \text{ H}$); 3.55 (s, 4 H); 2.04 (t, $J = 7.0$, 2 H). ¹³C-NMR (CD₃OD, 75 MHz): 163.9; 163.4; 157.1; 145.7; 130.4; 114.2; 114.0; 113.3; 102.3; 74.8; 66.4 (2 C); 65.9; 34.1. EI-MS: 279 (6, $[M - H]^+$), 149 (63), 134 (21), 84 (76), 66 (100).

 $2-(Hydroxymethyl)-4-[(4-nitrophenyl)oxy/butane-1,2-diol (2)$. A soln. of compound 15 (330 mg, 1.12 mmol) in THF (4 ml) and 1N HCl (4 ml) was stirred for 30 min at r.t. The mixture was extracted with AcOEt (10 \times 40 ml). The org. phases were dried (Na₂SO₄), and the solvent was evaporated to provide 2 (288 mg) in a quant. yield. Colorless solid. M.p. $69-71^\circ$. TLC (AcOEt): R_f 0.59. IR (KBr): 3393s (br.), 1594s, 1506s, 1343s, 1264s, 1112m. ¹H-NMR (CD₃OD, 300 MHz): 8.20 (d, J = 9.2, 2 H); 7.08 (d, J = 9.2, 2 H); 4.31 $(t, J = 7.0, 2 \text{ H}); 3.55 \text{ (s, 4 H)}; 2.04 \text{ (t, } J = 7.0, 2 \text{ H)}.$ ¹³C-NMR (CD₃OD, 75 MHz): 165.4; 142.4; 126.7 (2 C); 115.6 (2 C); 74.7; 66.3 (2 C); 65.9; 34.0. EI-MS:257 (5, M), 226 (67), 208 (59), 152 (77), 140 (83), 101 (96), 71 (100), 43 (82). HR-MS (ESI⁺-TOF-MS): 258.0979 (C₁₁H₁₆NO₆⁺, [*M* + H]⁺; calc. 258.0977).

2-(5-Hydroxy-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxan-5-yl)ethyl 4-Methylbenzenesulfonate (7). TsCl (554 mg, 2.90 mmol) was added to a soln. of diol 13 (465 mg, 2.64 mmol) in anh. pyridine (10 ml) at 0° . The mixture was stirred at 5^o for 3 d. The mixture was quenched with H₂O (5 ml) and extracted with AcOEt (3×50 ml). The org. phases were dried (Na_2SO_4) , and the solvents were evaporated in vacuo. The crude product was chromatographed (silica gel; hexane/AcOEt 1:1) to yield 7 (538 mg, 62%). Pale-yellow oil. TLC (hexane/AcOEt 1:1): R_f 0.33. IR (film): 3374m, 1216s, 1187s, 1038m, 815m. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): 7.78 $(d, J = 8.2, 2 H)$; 7.35 $(d, J = 8.2, 2 H)$

 2 H); 4.22 (t, J = 5.9, 2 H); 3.80 (d, ²J = 12.0, 2 H); 3.50 (d, ²J = 12.0, 2 H); 3.22 (br. s, 1 H); 2.46 (s, 3 H); 1.73 $(t, J=5.9, 2 \text{ H})$; 1.42 (s, 6 H). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): 145.6; 133.5; 130.6 (2 C); 128.6 (2 C); 99.1; 69.1 $(2 \text{ C}); 66.7; 66.3; 33.8; 29.1; 22.3; 19.0. \text{ EI-MS}: 315 ([M-Me]⁺), 172 (71), 91 (100), 41 (74). \text{ HR-MS} (ESI⁺)$ TOF-MS): 331.120 ($C_{15}H_{23}O_6S^+$, $[M+H]^+$; calc. 331.1215).

2,2-Dimethyl-1,3-dioxan-5-one (8). A soln. of NaIO₄ (8.5 g, 39.9 mmol) in H₂O (110 ml) was added dropwise at 0° , over a period of 3 h, to a slurry of 10 (6.4 g, 39.9 mmol) and KH_2PO_4 (5.4 g, 39.9 mmol) also in H₂O (130 ml). The resulting mixture was stirred at 5° for 1 h and then 5 h at r.t., Na₂S₂O₃ (9.9 g, 39.9 mmol) was added, and the resulting soln. was stirred for a further 15 min. Then, the mixture was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 $(10 \times 50 \text{ ml})$. The combined org. phases were dried (Na_2SO_4) , filtered, concentrated *in vacuo*, and purified by bulb-to-bulb distillation (95°) to afford 8 (4.47 g, 86%). Colorless volatile oil. TLC (hexane/AcOEt 1:1): R_f 0.46. EI-MS: 130 (12, M⁺), 115 (27), 100 (23), 72 (44), 43 (100). IR (film): 1752. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz):4.1 (s, 4 H); 1.41 (s, 6 H). 13C-NMR (CDCl3 , 75 MHz):208.7; 100.7; 67.4 (2 C); 24.1 (2 C). EI-HR-MS: 130.062960 ($C_9H_{10}O_3^+$; calc. 130.062994).

5-Amino-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxane-5-methanol (10). TsOH (603 mg, 3.17 mmol) was added to a soln. of 2 amino-2-(hydroxymethyl)propane-1,3-diol hydrochloride (10 g, 63.4 mmol) in dry DMF (70 ml) at r.t., followed by the addition of 2,2-dimethoxypropane (8.55 ml, 69.7 mmol) in one portion. The resulting white suspension became clear and colorless after 30 min. After stirring 12 h, Et_3N (0.5 ml, 36 mmol) was added, and the mixture was stirred for an additional 10 min. The mixture was next concentrated in vacuo and treated with Et₃N (7 ml) and AcOEt (250 ml). The white precipitate formed upon addition of the base was collected by filtration. The crude product was recrystallized in Et₂O (7 ml) to afford 10 (6.40 g, 62%). Colorless solid. M.p. 67 – 69°. TLC $(CH_2Cl_2/MeOH 9:1)$: R_f 0.31. EI-MS: 146 (80, $[M-Me]^+$), 130 (85), 73 (100), 42 (73). IR (KBr): 3266.0s, $1603.7s$, $1372.4s$, $1059.8s$, $830.0s$. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): 3.80 (d , $^2J = 12.0$, 2 H); 3.54 (d , $^2J = 12.0$, 2 H); 3.50 (s, 2 H); 1.98 (br. s, 3 H); 1.46 (s, 3 H); 1.42 (s, 3 H). 13C-NMR (CDCl3 , 75 MHz):99.1; 67.9 (2 C); 65.6; 50.9; 25.6; 22.8.

2,2-Dimethyl-5-(prop-2-enyl)-1,3-dioxan-5-ol (11). Allylmagnesium bromide (ca. 1M in hexane, 14.1 ml, 14.15 mmol) was added to a soln. of $8(920 \text{ me}, 7.08 \text{ mmol})$ in dry THF (40 ml) at 0° during 5 min. The mixture was stirred for 2 h at r.t., then the reaction was quenched with 5N NH₄Cl (5 ml), the mixture was extracted with AcOEt (3×50 ml) and washed with brine (2×50 ml). The org. phases were dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was chromatographed (silica gel; hexane/AcOEt 7:3) to afford 11 (610 mg, 50%). Colorless oil. TLC (hexane/AcOEt 7:3): R_f 0.6. IR (film): 3448m, 2994m, 1641w, 1374s, 1200s, 830s. ¹H-NMR $(CDCl_3, 300 MHz)$: 5.92 – 5.78 $(m, 1 H)$; 5.14 – 5.07 $(m, 2 H)$; 3.78 $(d, {}^{2}J = 11.8, 2 H)$; 3.53 $(d, {}^{2}J = 11.8, 2 H)$; 3.17 (br. s, 1 H); 2.16 (d, J = 7.3, 2 H); 1.43 (s, 3 H); 1.41 (s, 3 H). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): 132.3; 119.5; 89.9; 69.0 (2 C); 67.2; 39.5; 28.5; 19.6. EI-MS: 171 ($[M-H]^+$), 157 (32), 141 (29), 83 (30), 59 (47), 43 (100). HR-MS (ESI⁺-TOF-MS): 173.1179 (C₉H₁₇O₃, [M + H]⁺; calc. 173.1177).

 $3-(2,2-Dimethyl-5-hydroxy-1,3-dioxan-5-vl) propane-1,2-diol$ (12). 4-Methylmorpholine 4-oxide (1.54 g, 11.36 mmol) and catalytic $OsO₄$ (0.05 mmol) were added at r.t. to a soln. of 11 (490 mg, 2.84 mmol) in acetone (15 ml) and H₂O (15 ml) . The mixture was stirred for 5 h, the reaction was quenched with an aq. soln. of 1_N Na₂SO₂ (5 ml), and the mixture was extracted with AcOEt (10 \times 100 ml). The combined org. extracts were dried (Na_2SO_4) , filtered, and concentrated in vacuo, to produce a white precipitate, which was collected by filtration and washed with hexane/AcOEt 1:1, affording 12 (278 mg, 47%). Colorless solid. M.p. 139–141^o. TLC (AcOEt): R_f 0.38. IR (KBr): 3393w, 2996m, 2521s, 1730s, 1292s, 1074s. ¹H-NMR (CD₃OD, 300 MHz): $3.97 - 3.90 \ (m, 1 \ H); 3.83 \ (dd, J = 2.2, 11.9, 2 \ H); 3.64 \ (2dd, J = 2.2, 11.9, 2 \ H); 3.44 \ (d, J = 5.5, 2 \ H); 1.67 \ (dd, J = 5.5, 2 \ H); 1.67 \ (dd, J = 5.5, 2 \ H).$ 2.6, 14.7, 1 H); 1.49 (dd, J = 9.6, 14.7, 1 H); 1.40 (s, 6 H). ¹³C-NMR (CD₃OD, 75 MHz): 99.4; 69.6; 69.4; 69.3; 67.9; 66.4; 38.9; 25.7; 22.0. Anal. calc. for C9H18O5 (206.24):C 52.41, H 8.80; found:C 52.33, H 8.77.

 $5-(2-Hydroxyethyl)-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxan-5-ol$ (13). NaIO₄ (303 mg, 1.42 mmol) was added to a soln. of 12 (278 mg, 1.35 mmol) in H₂O (10 ml) at 0° , the mixture was stirred at this temp. for 30 min until the TLC showed that the starting material had disappeared. N aB H_4 (64 mg, 1.69 mmol) was added directly, and the mixture was stirred for further 45 min, extracted with AcOEt (5×25 ml), dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The product was chromatographed (silica gel; AcOEt/hexane 4:1) to afford 13 (270 mg, 91%). Pale-yellow oil. TLC (AcOEt): R_f 0.53. IR (film): 3415s, 2922s, 1376m, 1200m, 1077s, 829m. ¹H-NMR $(CD_3OD, 300 MHz)$: 3.80 $(d, {}^2J = 11.8, 2 H)$; 3.74 $(t, J = 6.6, 2 H)$; 3.60 $(d, {}^2J = 11.8, 2 H)$; 3.50 $(br. s, 2 H)$; 1.69 $(t, J = 6.6, 2 \text{ H})$; 1.40 (s, 6 H). ¹³C-NMR (CD₃OD, 75 MHz): 99.3; 69.3 (2 C); 67.8; 58.1; 38.2; 25.6; 22.0. EI-MS: $174 ([M - 2H]^+), 145 (34), 72 (76), 70 (46), 59 (100).$

7-[2-(5-Hydroxy-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxan-5-yl)ethoxy]-2H-1-benzopyran-2-one (14). To a suspension of 7 hydroxy-2H-1-benzopyran-2-one (6; 97.5 mg, 0.6 mmol) in DMF (2 ml), oven-dried K_2CO_3 (167 mg, 1.21 mmol), and 18-crown-6 ether as catalyst, previously stirred for 1 h at r.t., was added a solution of 7 $(200 \text{ mg}, 0.6 \text{ mmol})$ in DMF (2 ml) . The slurry was stirred for 12 h at 95°. After quenching with H₂O (5 ml), the mixture was extracted with AcOEt (3×20 ml), washed with aq. NaOH (3×20 ml) and brine (3×20 ml). The org. phases were dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, concentrated in vacuo, and purified by chromatography to afford 14 (167 mg, 87%). Pale-yellow oil. TLC (hexane/AcOEt 1:1): R_f 0.22. IR (film): 3452m, 1729s, 1614s, 1230m, 1199m, 1126m. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): 7.63 (d, J = 9.2, 1 H); 7.36 (d, J = 9.2, 1 H); 6.83 – 6.80 (m, 2 H); 6.24 $(d, J = 9.6, 1 \text{ H})$; 4.24 $(t, J = 5.9, 2 \text{ H})$; 3.90 $(d, {}^{2}J = 11.9, 2 \text{ H})$; 3.65 $(d, {}^{2}J = 11.9, 2 \text{ H})$; 3.39 (br. s, 1 H); 1.88 $(t, J = 5.9, 2 \text{ H}); 1.46 \text{ (s, 3 H)}; 1.45 \text{ (s, 3 H)}.$ ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): 162.3; 162.0; 156.6; 143.9; 129.5; 114.0; 113.5; 113.4; 102.3; 99.1; 69.4 (2 C); 67.1; 64.3; 33.8; 28.9; 19.4. EI-MS: 321 (9, $[M-Me]^+$), 320 (33, $[M H₂O⁺$), 175 (81), 162 (100), 134 (60), 43 (73).

2,2-Dimethyl-5-[2-(4-nitrophenyloxy)ethyl]-1,3-dioxan-5-ol (15). A soln. of K₂CO₃ (234 mg, 1.7 mmol), 4nitrophenol (142 mg, 1.02 mmol), and 18-crown-6 ether (as catalyst, 0.34 mmol) in acetone (6 ml) was stirred for 40 min ar r.t. Compound 7 (280 mg, 0.85 mmol) was added, and the mixture was refluxed overnight. After quenching with H₂O (10 ml) and extraction with AcOEt (3 \times 30 ml), the org. phases were dried (Na₂SO₄), and the solvents were evaporated in vacuo. The crude product was chromatographed (silica gel; hexane/AcOEt 7:3) to afford 15 (137 mg, 54%). Yellow solid. M.p. $95-97^{\circ}$. TLC (hexane/AcOEt 1:1): R_f 0.59. IR (KBr): 3343m, $1606m$, 1594s, 1508s, 1341s, 1259s. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): 8.20 (d, J = 9.2, 2 H); 6.95 (d, J = 9.2, 2 H); 4.29 $(t, J=5.9, 2 \text{ H})$; 3.91 $(d, {}^{2}J=11.7, 2 \text{ H})$; 3.65 $(d, {}^{2}J=11.7, 2 \text{ H})$; 3.35 (br. s, 1 H); 1.89 $(t, J=5.9, 2 \text{ H})$; 1.48 $(s, 3 H)$; 1.46 $(s, 3 H)$. ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): 164.0; 142.2; 126.5 (2 C); 115.0 (2 C); 90.0; 69.2 (2 C); 66.9; 64.4; 33.7; 28.8; 19.2. EI-MS: 298 (48, $[M+1]^+$), 282 (48, $[M-Me]^+$), 240 (100), 152 (35), 119 (35). HR-MS (ESI⁺-TOF-MS): 298.1299 (C₁₄H₂₀NO₆⁺, [*M* + H]⁺; calc. 298.1290).

2-(Acetoxymethyl)-2-hydroxy-4-[(2-oxo-2H-1-benzopyran-7-yl)oxy]butyl Acetate (16). Ac2O (1 ml) was added to a soln. of 1 (20 mg, 0.08 mol) in dry pyridine (1 ml), the mixture was stirred overnight at r.t. Then the solvent was evaporated to give 16 in quant. yield. Pale-yellow oil. TLC (hexane/AcOEt 1:1): R_f 0.24. EI-MS: 365 (100, [M 1]), 305 (17), 245 (33), 155 (37), 135 (27), 119 (80). IR (film):3453w, 1733s, 1515s, 1232s, 1127m, 1046m, 838w. ¹H-NMR (CD₃OD, 300 MHz): 7.64 (d, J = 9.5, 1 H); 7.37 (d, J = 9.4, 1 H); 6.84 – 6.82 $(m, 2H)$; 6.25 $(d, J = 9.4, 1 H)$; 4.26 $(t, J = 5.9, 2 H)$; 4.21 – 4.12 $(m, 4 H)$; 2.90 (br. s, 1 H); 2.14 – 2.06 $(m, 2 H)$; 2.11 (s, 6 H). ¹³C-NMR (CD₃OD, 75 MHz): 171.5 (2 C); 162.2; 161.7; 156.5; 143.9; 129.5; 114.0; 113.6; 113.5; 102.1; 72.6; 67.5 (2 C); 64.5; 34.2; 21.5 (2 C). HR-MS (ESI⁺-TOF-MS): 365.1267 (C₁₈H₂₁NO₃^{*}, [*M* + H]⁺; 365.136).

2-(Acetoxymethyl)-2-hydroxy-4-[(4-nitrophenyl)oxy]butyl Acetate (17). Ac₂O (1 ml) was added at 0° to a soln. of $2(20 \text{ ms } 0.08 \text{ mmol})$ in dry pyridine (1 ml) , and the mixture was stirred overnight at r.t. The solvent was evaporated to give 17 in quant. yield. Pale-yellow oil. TLC (hexane/AcOEt 1:1): R_f 0.42. IR (film): 3483w, 1740s, 1594s, 1515s, 1342s, 1259s, 1112m. ¹H-NMR (CD₃OD, 300 MHz): 8.20 $(d, J = 9.2, 2 H)$; 6.95 $(d, J = 9.2, 2 H)$ 2 H); 4.29 (t, J = 6.2, 2 H); 4.21 – 4.12 (m, 4 H); 2.14 – 2.04 (m, 2 H); 2.10 (s, 6 H). ¹³C-NMR (CD₃OD, 75 MHz): 171.5 (2 C); 163.9; 142.4; 126.6 (2 C); 115.1 (2 C); 72.5; 67.4 (2 C); 64.7; 34.1; 21.5 (2 C). EI-MS: 341 (15, M⁺), 324 (96), 282 (25), 222 (42), 155 (40), 135 (47), 119 (100). HR-MS (ESI⁺-TOF-MS): 341.11110 (C₁₅H₁₉NO₅', $[M + H]$ ⁺; calc. 341.11106).

2-[(Decanoyloxy)methyl]-2-hydroxy-4-[(2-oxo-2H-1-benzopyran-7-yl)oxy]butyl Decanoate (18). Decanoyl chloride (182 μ , 0.89 mmol) was added to a soln. of 1 (100 mg, 0.36 mmol) in dry pyridine (6.6 ml) at 0°, and the mixture was stirred at 5° overnight. The solvent was evaporated, and the crude product was extracted with AcOEt (3×25 ml), washed with aq. NaHCO₃ (3×20 ml), and dried (Na₂SO₄). The yellow oil obtained after evaporation of the solvents was chromatographed (silica gel; hexane/AcOEt 4:1) to yield **18** (96 mg, 43%). Colorless crystals. M.p. 64 – 66°. TLC (hexane/AcOEt 3:2): R_f 0.45. IR (KBr): 3410m, 2925s, 2855s, 1733s, $1615m$, $1470m$. 1 H-NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): 7.64 (d, $J = 9.3$, 1 H); 7.38 (d, $J = 9.3$, 1 H); 6.95 – 6.93 (m, 2 H); $6.27(d, J = 9.3, 1 \text{ H})$; 4.26 $(t, J = 6.5, 2 \text{ H})$; 4.21 – 4.11 $(m, 4 \text{ H})$; 2.82 $(\text{br. s}, 1 \text{ H})$; 2.35 $(t, J = 7.7, 4 \text{ H})$; 2.11 $(t, J = 7.7, 4 \text{ H})$ 6.5, 2 H); 1.66 – 1.61 (m, 4 H); 1.39 – 1.20 (m, 24 H); 0.88 (t, J = 6.9, 6 H). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): 174.3 (2 C); 162.2; 161.7; 156.5; 143.9; 129.5; 114.0; 113.9; 113.5; 102.1; 72.7; 67.3 (2 C); 64.6; 34.8 (2 C); 34.2; 32.5 (2 C); 30.2 (2 C); 30.1 (2 C); 30.0 (2 C); 29.9 (2 C); 29.8 (4 C); 25.6 (2 C); 23.3 (2 C); 14.7 (2 C). FAB-MS:571 (45) , 435 (94) , 417 (33) , 281 (100) , 263 (63) , 163 (76) . Anal. calc. for $C_{34}H_{52}O_8$ (588.77) : C 69.36, H 8.90; found: C 69.25, H 8.91.

2-[(Decanoyloxy)methyl]-2-hydroxy-4-[(4-nitrophenyl)oxy]butyl Decanoate (19). Decanoyl chloride (157 μ , 0.77 mmol) was added to a soln. of 2 (67 mg, 0.29 mmol) in dry pyridine (4 ml) at 0°, and the mixture was stirred at 5° overnight. The solvent was evaporated, and the crude product was extracted with AcOEt ($3 \times$ 15 ml), washed with aq. sat. NaHCO₃ (3×10 ml), and dried (Na₂SO₄). The crude product obtained after evaporation of the solvents was chromatographed (silica gel; hexane/AcOEt 85:15) to give 19 (63 mg, 38%). Colorless oil. TLC (hexane/AcOEt 7 :3): R^f 0.64. IR (film):3448w, 2927s, 2856s, 2856s, 1742s, 1594m, 1516m,

1342s, 1262s, 1111m, 847w. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): 8.19 (d, J = 9.2, 2 H); 6.95 (d, J = 9.2, 2 H); 4.28 (t, J = $(6.5, 2 \text{ H})$; $(4.21 - 4.10 \text{ (m, 4 H)}$; $(2.85 \text{ (br. s, 1 H)}$; $(2.34 \text{ (t, } J = 7.3, 4 H))$; $(2.10 \text{ (t, } J = 6.5, 2 H))$; $(1.64 - 1.60 \text{ (m, 4 H)})$; $1.38 - 1.28$ (m, 24 H); 0.87 (t, J = 6.9, 6 H). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): 174.4 (2 C); 164.0; 142.4; 126.6 (2 C); 115.1 (2 C); 72.7; 67.3 (2 C); 64.7; 34.8 (2 C); 34.2; 32.5 (2 C); 30.0 (2 C); 29.9 (4 C); 29.8 (2 C); 25.5 (2 C); 23.3 (2 C); 14.7 (2 C). EI-MS: 565 (6, M⁺), 535 (63), 380 (85), 155 (100), 83 (67). HR-MS (ESI⁺-TOF-MS): 548.3576 $(C_{31}H_{50}NO_7^+$, $[M-H_2+H]^+$; 548.3587).

2-[(Dodecanoyloxy)methyl]-2-hydroxy-4-[(2-oxo-2H-1-benzopyran-7-yl)oxy]butyl Dodecanoate (20). Dodecanoyl chloride (211 μ l, 0.89 mmol) was added to a soln. of 2 (100 mg, 0.36 mmol) in dry pyridine (6.6 ml) at 0° , and the mixture was stirred at 5° overnight. The solvents were evaporated, and the crude product was extracted with AcOEt (3×25 ml), washed with aq. NaHCO₃ (3×20 ml), and dried (Na₂SO₄). The yellow oil obtained was chromatographed (silica gel; hexane/AcOEt 4 :1). The crude product obtained was further purified by recrystallization from hexane to afford 20 (55 mg, 25%). Colorless crystals. M.p. 69–71°. TLC (hexane/AcOEt 3:2): R_f 0.45. IR (KBr): 2958m, 2922m, 2853m, 1738s, 1621m, 1470m. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz : 7.63 (d, $J = 9.4, 1 \text{ H}$); 7.36 (d, $J = 9.4, 1 \text{ H}$); 6.83 – 6.81 (m, 2 H); 6.25 (d, $J = 9.5, 1 \text{ H}$); 4.26 (t, $J = 5.9$, 2 H); 4.21 – 4.11 $(m, 4 \text{ H})$; 2.91 (br. s, 1 H); 2.34 $(t, J = 7.4, 4 \text{ H})$; 2.10 $(t, J = 5.9, 2 \text{ H})$; 1.64 – 1.59 $(m, 4 \text{ H})$; 1.39 – $1.23 \ (m, 32 \ H); 0.87 \ (t, J = 6.6, 6 \ H).$ ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): 174.3 (2 C); 162.2; 161.7; 156.5; 143.9; 129.5; 114.0; 113.5; 113.4; 102.1; 72.7; 67.3 (2 C); 64.6; 34.8 (2 C); 34.2; 32.5 (2 C); 30.2 (2 C); 30.1 (2 C); 30.0 (2 C); 29.9 (2 C); 29.8 (4 C); 25.6 (2 C); 23.3 (2 C); 14.7 (2 C). Anal. calc. for $C_{38}H_{60}O_8$ (644.88): C 70.77, H 9.38; found: C 70.68, H 9.37.

2-[(Dodecanoyloxy)methyl]-2-hydroxy-4-[(4-nitrophenyl)oxy]butyl Dodecanoate (21). Dodecanoyl chloride (161 μ , 0.68 mmol) was added to a soln. of 2 (67 mg, 0.26 mmol) in dry pyridine (4 ml) at 0°, and the mixture was stirred at 5° overnight. The solvent was evaporated, and the crude product was extracted with AcOEt ($3 \times$ 15 ml), washed with aq. sat. NaHCO₃ (3×10 ml), and dried (Na₂SO₄). The crude residue obtained after evaporation of the solvent was chromatographed (silica gel, hexane/AcOEt 85 :15) to provide 21 (67 mg, 41%). Colorless oil. TLC (hexane/AcOEt 7:3): R_f 0.79. IR (film): 3381w, 2956s, 2921s, 2852s, 1711s, 1593m, 1343s, 1265.5s, 1111m, 849w. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): 8.20 (d, J = 9.2, 2 H); 6.95 (d, J = 9.2, 2 H); 4.29 (t, J = 6.0, $(2 H); 4.21 - 4.11$ $(m, 4 H); 2.81$ (br. s, 1 H); 2.35 $(t, J = 7.4, 4 H); 2.10$ $(t, J = 6.0, 2 H); 1.65 - 1.60$ $(t, J = 7.0, 4 H);$ $1.38 - 1.28$ (m, 32 H); 0.88 (t, J = 6.2, 6 H). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): 174.4 (2 C); 164.0; 142.4; 126.6 (2 C); 115.1 (2 C); 72.7; 67.3 (2 C); 64.7; 34.8 (2 C); 34.2; 32.6 (2 C); 30.2 (4 C); 30.1 (2 C); 30.0 (2 C); 29.9 (2 C); 29.8 $(2 \text{ C}); 25.6 \text{ } (2 \text{ C}); 23.2 \text{ } (2 \text{ C}); 14.7 \text{ } (2 \text{ C}). \text{ EI-MS: } 621 \text{ } (7, M^+), 603 \text{ } (63), 408 \text{ } (11), 183 \text{ } (79), 83 \text{ } (100), 57 \text{ } (59).$ Anal. calc. for $C_{35}H_{59}NO_8$ (621.84): C 67.60, H 9.56; found: C 67.72, H 9.55.

2-Hydroxy-2-(hydroxymethyl)-4-[(2-oxo-2H-1-benzopyran-7-yl)oxy]butyl Decanoate (22). Decanoyl chloride (17.5 μ , 0.085 mmol) was added to a soln. of 1 (20 mg, 0.071 mmol) in dry pyridine (2 ml) at 0°, and the mixture was stirred overnight at 5° . The solvent was evaporated, and the crude product was extracted with AcOEt (3×15 ml), washed with aq. sat. NaHCO₃ (3×10 ml), and dried (Na₂SO₄). The crude residue obtained after evaporation of the solvents was chromatographed (silica gel; hexane/AcOEt 7:3) to yield 22 (15 mg, 49%). Pale-yellow oil. TLC (hexane/AcOEt 1:1): R_f 0.15. IR (film): 3447.4s, 2927.3s, 2856.6s, 1733.5s, 1615.8s, 1282.3s. ¹H-NMR (CD₃OD, 300 MHz): 7.64 (d, J = 9.4, 1 H); 7.38 (d, J = 9.4, 1 H); 6.85 - 6.83 (m, 2 H); 6.26 $(d, J = 9.4, 1 \text{ H})$; 4.27 $(t, J = 5.9, 2 \text{ H})$; 4.23 – 4.16 $(m, 2 \text{ H})$; 3.60 – 3.52 $(m, 2 \text{ H})$; 2.86 (br. s, 1 H); 2.36 $(t, J = 7.3, 1)$ 2 H); 2.07 (t, J = 5.9, 2 H); 1.65 – 1.61 (m, 2 H); 1.37 – 1.27 (m, 12 H); 0.88 (t, J = 7.0, 3 H). ¹³C-NMR (CD₃OD, 75 MHz):175.3; 163.7; 163.3; 157.1; 145.8; 130.4; 114.2; 114.0; 113.3; 102.3; 73.6; 67.6; 66.4; 65.4; 35.0; 34.4; 33.0; 30.6; 30.4; 30.3; 30.2; 26.0; 23.7; 14.4. EI-MS:435 (57, M); 281 (100); 163 (71). HR-MS (ESI-TOF-MS): 435.2372 ($C_4H_{35}O_7^+$, $M + H$]⁺; calc. 435.2382.

2-Hydroxy-2-(hydroxymethyl)-4-[(4-nitrophenyl)oxy]butyl Decanoate (23). Decanoyl chloride (91 µl, 0.44 mmol) was added to a soln. of 2 (105 mg, 0.37 mmol) in dry pyridine (10 ml) at 0° , and the mixture was stirred at 5° overnight. The solvent was evaporated, and the crude product was extracted with AcOEt ($3 \times$ 15 ml), washed with aq. sat. NaHCO₃ (3×10 ml), and dried (Na₂SO₄). The crude residue obtained after evaporation of the solvents in vacuo was chromatographed (silica gel; hexane/AcOEt 7:3) to give 23 (64 mg, 42%). Pale-yellow oil. TLC (hexane/AcOEt 1:1): R_f 0.41. IR (film): 3449m, 2927s, 2856s, 1733s, 1515s, 1262s, 1111s. ¹H-NMR (CD₃OD, 300 MHz): 8.20 (d, J = 9.2, 2 H); 7.07 (d, J = 9.2, 2 H); 4.30 (t, J = 6.6, 2 H); 4.12 $(s, 2H)$; 3.54 $(s, 2H)$; 2.33 $(t, J = 7.7, 2H)$; 2.07 $(t, J = 6.6, 2H)$; 1.59 – 1.57 $(m, 2H)$; 1.38 – 1.28 $(m, 12H)$; 0.88 $(t, J=6.9, 3 \text{ H})$. ¹³C-NMR (CD₃OD, 75 MHz): 175.3; 165.4; 142.8; 126.8 (2 C); 115.8 (2 C); 73.6; 67.7; 66.4; 65.7; 35.0; 34.4; 33.0; 30.6; 30.4 (2 C); 30.3; 30.2; 26.0; 23.7; 14.4. Anal. calc. for C₂₁H₃₃NO₇ (411.49): C 61.30, H 8.08; found:C 61.36, H 8.10.

2-[(Dibenzyloxyphosphoryloxy)methyl]-4-[(2-oxo-2H-1-benzopyran-7-yl)oxy]butane-1,2-diyl Bis(dibenzylphosphate) (24). A soln. of 1 (0.050 g, 0.18 mmol) and 1H-tetrazole (0.113 g, 9 equiv.) in anh. CH₂Cl₂ (5 ml) and DMF (2 ml) was treated at 25° under stirring with dibenzyl N,N-diisopropylphosphoramidite (0.180 ml, 3 equiv.) and stirred at 25° for 3 h. The soln. was cooled to -78° , m-CPBA (0.250 g, 6 equiv.) was added, and stirring was prolonged for 1 h at 0° . A soln. of sat. aq. NaHCO₃ (1 ml) was added, and the mixture was concentrated in vacuo. The residue was taken in AcOEt, washed (sat. aq. NaHCO₃, H₂O, and brine) and purified by FC (CH₂Cl₂/MeCN 75:25) to give pure 24 (0.052 g, 27%). Yellow syrup. TLC (CH₂Cl₂/MeCN 90 :10, UV 365 nm): R^f 0.43. IR (KBr):3447s, 2092w, 1732s, 1615s, 1558w, 1509w, 1499w, 1457w, 1399w, 1279s, 1016s. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): 7.60 $(d, J = 9.6, 1 \text{ H})$; 7.35 – 7.23 $(m, 31 \text{ H})$; 6.71 – 6.59 $(m, 2 \text{ H})$; 6.25 $(d, J =$ 9.6, 1 H); 5.00 – 4.93 (m, 12 H); 4.30 (dd, J = 4.5, 10.7, 2 H); 4.23 (dd, J = 4.5, 10.7, 2 H); 3.94 (t, J = 5.9, 2 H); 2.22 (t, J = 5.9, 2 H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): 162.1; 161.7; 156.4; 143.9; 136.3; 136.2; 129.5; 129.4; 129.3; 128.9 ; 128.7 ; 128.6 ; 114.0 ; 113.5 ; 102.1 ; 83.9 ; 70.3 ; 70.2 ; 67.7 ; 63.7 ; 32.5 , 31 P-NMR (81 MHz, CDCl₃); -0.4 ; -5.0 .

2-[(Dihydroxyphosphoryloxy)methyl]-4-[(2-oxo-2H-1-benzopyran-7-yl)oxy]butane-1,2-diyl Bis(dihydrogenphosphate) (25). A soln. of 24 (0.040 g, 0.038 mmol) in EtOH/H₂O 4:1 (10 ml) was treated with Pd on charcoal (0.002 g, 0.1 equiv.) at 25° under 1 atm H_2 and stirred vigorously for 3 h. Filtration over Celite and concentration in vacuo gave pure 25 (0.019 g, quant.). Yellow syrup. IR (KBr): 3438s, 2929w, 2346w, 1702m, $1619s, 1557w, 1509w, 1385m, 1084s.$ $H\text{-NMR}$ (300 MHz, D_2O/CD_3CN): 7.85 $(d, J = 9.4, 1 H)$; 7.50 $(d, J = 7.4, 1 H)$ 1 H); 6.93 $(m, 2H)$; 6.21 $(d, J=9.4, 1H)$; 4.28 $(m, 6H)$; 2.25 – 2.15 $(m, 2H)$. ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, D₂O/ CD3CN):164.4; 162.9; 156.3; 146.3; 130.5; 114.2; 113.8; 112.9; 102.5; 82.6; 66.9; 65.5; 64.9; 32.7. 31P-NMR $(81 \text{ MHz}, \text{D}_2\text{O/CD}_3\text{CN})$: 3.1; -1.4.

Kinetics Measurements. All substrates were diluted from stock solns. in 50% aq. MeCN and stored at $+4^{\circ}$. Enzymes were diluted from 1 mg·ml⁻¹ stock solns. of the supplied solid in PBS (10 mm phosphate, 150 mm NaCl, pH 7.4). Assays (0.1 ml) were followed in individual wells of round-bottom polypropylene 96-well-plates (Costar) with a Cytofluor II Fluorescence Plate Reader (Perseptive Biosystems, filters $\lambda_{\rm ex}$ $=$ 360 \pm 20, $\lambda_{\rm em}$ $=$ 460 \pm 20 nm), or of polystyrene 96-well-plates (Costar) with a Spectramax 250 Microplate Spectrophotometer (Molecular Devices). Fluorescence data were converted to umbelliferone or nitrophenol concentration by means of a calibration curve. The rates indicated in *Table 2* are derived from the linear portion in each curve.

Oxidation of 1 with NaIO₄. A soln. of triol 1 (8.2 mg, 29.3 µmol, 1 equiv.) in MeCN/H₂O 1:1 (35 ml) was stirred at 25° with 10 equiv. of NaIO₄ (62.6 mg, 292.6 µmol) for 24 h. The mixture was then lyophilized to give a crude product containing acid $28 (87%)$ and umbelliferone $8 (13%)$ as analyzed by HPLC (for conditions, see Table 1) and ¹H-NMR integration.

Data of 28: ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, (D₆)DMSO): 7.99 (d, J = 9.2, 1 H); 7.63 (d, J = 8.8, 1 H); 7.00 (d, J = 2.6, 1 H); 6.94 (dd, J = 8.8, 2.6, 1 H); 6.29 (d, J = 9.2, 1 H); 4.27 (t, J = 5.9, 2 H); 2.72 (t, J = 5.89, 2 H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, (D6)DMSO):172.10; 161.50; 160.30; 155.37 (2 C); 144.34; 129.55; 112.64; 112.57; 101.21; 64.45; 33.89. ¹³C-NMR (DEPT-135; 100 MHz, (D₆)DMSO): 144.09 (CH); 129.30 (CH); 112.39 (CH); 112.32 (CH); 100.96 (CH); 64.20 (CH₂); 33.63 (CH₂). EI-MS: 234 (M^+).

REFERENCES

- [1] a) M. T. Reetz, Angew. Chem. 2001, 113, 292; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 284; b) D. Wahler, J.-L. Reymond, Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. 2001, 5, 152; c) F. Beisson, A. Tiss, C. Rivière, R. Verger, Eur. J. Lipid Sci. Technol. 2000, 133; d) D. Wahler, J.-L. Reymond, Curr. Opin. Biotechnol. 2001, 12, 535.
- [2] a) F. Badalassi, D. Wahler, G. Klein, P. Crotti, J.-L. Reymond, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 4067; b) D. Wahler, F. Badalassi, P. Crotti, J.-L. Reymond, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 4457; c) D. Wahler, F. Badalassi, P. Crotti, J.-L. Reymond, Chem.-Eur. J. 2002, 8, 3211; d) D. Wahler, J.-L. Reymond, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 1229; e) F. Badalassi, P. Crotti, J.-L. Reymond, Helv. Chim. Acta 2002, 85, 3090.
- [3] a) G. Klein, J.-L. Reymond, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1998, 8, 1113; b) G. Klein, J.-L. Reymond, Helv. Chim. Acta 1999, 82, 400.
- [4] J. Hannah, R. Tolman, J. Karkas, R. Liou, H. Perry, A. K. Field, J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1989, 26, 1261.
- [5] C. D. Forbes, D. Ene, M. Doyle, Synthesis 1998, 879.
- [6] a) P. Kohler, M. Wacht, C. Tamm, Helv. Chim. Acta 1980, 63, 2488; b) J. Lindberg, J. Ekeroth, P. Konradsson, J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 194.
- [7] a) M. Simmel, M. Turunen, J. Piironen, T. Vaara, VTT Symp. 1991, 122, 145; b) R. J. Wodzinski, A. H. J. Ullah, Adv. Appl. Microbiol. 1996, 42, 263.
- [8] D. Crans, G. M. Whitesides, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 7008.